Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/579,113	FAGAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHULAMITH H. SHAFER	1647
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>SHULAMITH H. SHAFER</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Dr. Eisenschenk</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 April 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 42, 45, 46, 51, 52, 55		
Prior art documents discussed: Baughn et al		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Shulamith H. Shafer/ Examiner, Art Unit 1647	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed claim amendments to put claims in condition for allowance. The following changes were discussed:changing claim 42(b) so that claim uses closed (consisting of) language, canceling all claims which recite SEQ ID NOs:27 and 28, amending claim 55 so it overcomes any inherency in teachings of the prior art.